

Sheet of review

Title of reviewed article

01	Does the problem being presented in the article is significant for science?	Yes	Partly	No
02	Have the paper applicable advantages? Is it interesting also for non – geographers?	Yes	Partly	No
03	Does the paper bring new ideas to the subject literature?	Yes	Partly	No
04	Is the paper an original study (it means, it is not the compilation of former known publications)?	Yes	Partly	No
05	Is the aim of article clearly specified and realized?	Yes	Partly	No
06	Does the paper's title match its content?	Yes	Partly	No
07	Has the question been presented in a comprehensive way?	Yes	Partly	No
08	Does the article have a logical layout?	Yes	Partly	No
09	Is the form and the size of the article proportional to its contents?	Yes	Partly	No
10	Is there a need of an adaptation (shortening-extension) which contribute to enhanced readability and informative value of the article?	Yes	Partly	No
11	Is the subject bibliography sufficient?	Yes	Partly	No
12	Is the language of article correct?	Yes	Partly	No
13	Do conclusions result from the content?	Yes	Partly	No
14	Is the text adequately illustrated (tables, figures)?	Yes	Too modest	Lack
15	Which illustrations can be skipped?			
16	Suggested corrections (can be noted in text)			

CONCLUSIONS: (please outline the proper one):

1	The article is worth publishing in author's version with possible small changes.
2	The article can be published after corrections suggested by the reviewer.
3	The article must be radically revised.
4	The article is not suitable for publication. Does not match the journal profile. Does not fulfill the language requirements. Does not contain adequate methodology. Contains many deficiencies in data interpretation. Other reasons (specify please).

.....

(Date, Sign)*

**The review is anonymous. Neither author nor reviewer knows the other's identity.*